

Original Article

Strongly Involution k -regular nil clean ring

Ali Shakir Mahmood ^{a,*}, Zubaida Mohammed Ibraheem ^a

^a, Department of Mathematics, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, University of Mosul, 41001 Mosul, Iraq.

*Corresponding: Ali Shakir Mahmood, Email: ali.23csp37@student.uomosul.edu.iq

Received: 28 May 2024

Accepted: 03 October 2024

Published: 12 April 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56286/nphw8195>

Article ID: 1009



© 2025 The Author(s). Published by NTU Journal of Pure Sciences. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Abstract

This article is about A -rings R , for which every element is a sum of an involution k -regular element and a nilpotent element, which commute with each other. These rings are called strongly involution k -regular nil-clean rings or SIKRNC rings. Some characteristics and basic properties of SIKRNC rings are presented in this work.

Keywords: Clean rings, Nil clean rings, Strongly involution m -regular nil clean rings

Introduction

In this article, we assume that all rings are associative and have an identity element. In [1], [2], [3],[4], ring \mathcal{R} is considered to be clean. Every element is defined in terms of sum of unit $u \in \mathcal{R}$ and idempotent $e \in \mathcal{R}$. Moreover, Diesl and Alexander studied the nil clean ring [3], which showed that R is nil clean if all elements are idempotent $e \in \mathcal{R}$ and nilpotent $c \in \mathcal{R}$. An element $d \in \mathcal{R}$ is called regular element, if there is an element $l \in \mathcal{R}$ and positive integer k such that $dk = dkl dk$. if every elements in R k -regular, then R is called k -regular [5], when $k = 1$, that is $d = d l d$, \mathcal{R} is called Von Neumann regular ring (simply regular) [5], [6]. An element $v \in \mathcal{R}$ is considered to be involution if it is a square roots of 1 [7], [8].

Any ring \mathcal{R} may be represented by $N(\mathcal{R})$, $U(\mathcal{R})$, $J(\mathcal{R})$, $\text{Idem}(\mathcal{R})$, $k - \text{reg}(\mathcal{R})$, $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{R})$, and $Ik - \text{reg}(\mathcal{R})$ to represent the set of nilpotent elements, the group of unite elements, the Jacobson radical of \mathcal{R} , the set of idempotent element in \mathcal{R} , the set of k - regular elements of \mathcal{R} , all involutorial elements and all k -regular elements respectively. Finally, \mathcal{R} is referred reduced if $N(\mathcal{R}) = \{0\}$, [9].

SImrnc -Rings

A definition of k – regular nil clean rings is present and SImrnc – rings with some characteristics and fundamental properties.

Definition 1 [10]

An element $x \in \mathcal{R}$ is k -regular nil clean or (k -rnc) when $x = d^k + c$ where $d^k \in k - \text{reg}(\mathcal{R})$ for a fixed positive integer $k \geq 1$ and $c \in N(\mathcal{R})$. A ring \mathcal{R} is k -regular nil clean ring, or (k -rnc ring) if each element in \mathcal{R} is k -rnc.

Example [10]

1. \mathcal{Z}_6 is k - rnc ring but not nil clean.
2. \mathcal{Z}_8 is k - rnc ring when $k = 3$ but, it is not regular.

Definition 2

Any element of ring \mathcal{R} can be expressed as a sum of a ring of evolution k -regular, nilpotent elements, then it is called to be an Involution k -regular, nil clean ring (simply $Ikrnc$ – ring).

The following is an example for $Ikrnc$ – ring

$$\textcircled{1} \text{ Let } \mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} : a, b, d \in \mathcal{Z}_2 \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_7 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x = d^k + c = d^k \vee d^k + b, \quad inv(\mathcal{R}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_4 = \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_2 + \mathcal{A}_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_6 = \mathcal{A}_3 + \mathcal{A}_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}_4 + \mathcal{A}_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_5 = \mathcal{A}_5 + \mathcal{A}_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{A}_6 + \mathcal{A}_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_7 = \mathcal{A}_7 + \mathcal{A}_0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

② $(\mathcal{Z}_p, +, \cdot)$, $(\mathcal{Z}_2^h, +, \cdot)$, $(\mathcal{Z}_3^h, +, \cdot)$

Now, we give the definition of Simrnc – ring.

Definition 3

A ring \mathcal{R} is defined as strongly Involution k -regular nil clean (simply Simrnc – ring), when any element x in \mathcal{R} , exists involution k -regular element $d^k \in \mathcal{R}$ and a nilpotent member c in \mathcal{R} such that $x = d^k + c$, with $d^k c = c d^k$.

Example: $(\mathcal{Z}_2^h, +, \cdot)$ and $(\mathcal{Z}_3^h, +, \cdot)$, where h is positive integer, are Ikrnc rings.

Proposition 1

Every homomorphic image of Simrnc ring is Simrnc too.

Proof: -

$H: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1$ is a homomorphism from Simrnc ring \mathcal{R} onto the ring \mathcal{R}_1 . For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{R}_1$, there exist $x \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\varphi = g(x)$ since $x = d^k + c$, where $d^k \in Ikrnc(\mathcal{R})$ and $c \in N(\mathcal{R})$, thus $\varphi = H(x) = H(d^k + c) = H(d^k) + H(c)$. Let $y = H(d)$, then $y^k = ((d^k)^k = g(d^k \mathcal{V} d^k) = H(d^k) H(\mathcal{V}) H(d^k) = (H(d^k))^k H(\mathcal{V}) (H(d^k))^k = y^k H(\mathcal{V}) y^k$. Now $H(\mathcal{V}) \cdot H(\mathcal{V}) = H(\mathcal{V} \cdot \mathcal{V}) = H(1) = 1'$, and $H(\mathcal{V})$ is involution element in \mathcal{R}_1 , $H(d^k)$ is Invo. k -regular in \mathcal{R}_1 . Since $(H(c))^n = H(c^n) = H(0) = 0$, implies that $H(c) \in N(\mathcal{R}_1)$. Because $H(d^k) \cdot H(c) = H(d^k \cdot c) = H(c \cdot d^k) = H(c) \cdot H(d^k)$ is Simrnc element in \mathcal{R}_1 . ■

Proposition 2

Let \mathcal{R} be a reduced SIkrnc - ring. Then $6=0$.

Proof: -

Let $1+1=2 \in \mathcal{R}$, then 2 can be written as $2 = d^k + c$, where $d^k \in Ikrnc(\mathcal{R})$, $c \in N(\mathcal{R})$, then $2 = d^k \mathcal{V} d^k$, where $\mathcal{V} \in Invo(\mathcal{R})$ and hence $2 = e \mathcal{V} e$, $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$, implies that $2 \mathcal{V}^{-1} = e$. Thus $2 \mathcal{V} = e$ and squaring, we get $(2 \mathcal{V})^2 = e^2$ implies that $2^2 \mathcal{V}^2 = e^2$ then $4(1) = e$. Again squaring $4^2 = e^2$ that is $4 = e$, hence $16 = 4$ that is $12 = 0$. Thus $6^2 = 36 = 3 \cdot 12 = 3 \cdot 0 = 0$. Hence $6^2 = 0$ and so $6 \in N(\mathcal{R}) = \{0\}$. ■

Proposition 3

Let \mathcal{R} is Simrnc ring with all central idempotent elements of \mathcal{R} , then $e \mathcal{R} e$ is Simrnc – ring.

Proof: -

Let $x \in e \mathcal{R} e \subset \mathcal{R}$, then $x = d^k + c$, where $d^k \in Ikrnc(\mathcal{R})$ and $c \in N(\mathcal{R})$. Hence $d^k = d^k \cdot \mathcal{V} \cdot d^k$, $\mathcal{V} \in Invo(\mathcal{R})$ implies that, $e x e = e d^k e + e c e$, $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$. Then $e d^k e = e \cdot d^k e \cdot \mathcal{V} \cdot e d^k$. Thus $e d^k e \in kr(e \mathcal{R} e)$. Now, to explain that $U(e \mathcal{R} e) = Inv(e \mathcal{R} e)$, let $u \in U(e \mathcal{R} e)$, we get that $u + 1 - e \in U(\mathcal{R})$ with inverse $u + 1 - e$, where $u u' = u' u = e$. Since, $u(1 - e) = (1 - e)u = 0$ and so $1 = (u + 1 - e)^2 = u^2 + 2u(1 - e) + (1 - e)^2 = u^2 + (1 - e)$ indicates that $u^2 = e$. Hence $u(e \mathcal{R} e) = Inv(e \mathcal{R} e)$, also $(e c e)^n = e^n c^n e^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, that is $e c e \in N(e \mathcal{R} e)$. Therefore $x = (e d^k) \mathcal{V} (d^k e) + e b e$ is Simrnc element and, therefore $e \mathcal{R} e$ is Simrnc - ring. ■

Proposition 4

if \mathcal{R} is a reduced ring. Then \mathcal{R} is Simrnc ring if and only if $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{Z}_2 \times \mathcal{Z}_3$.

Proof: -

If \mathcal{R} is Simrnc and $x \in \mathcal{R}$, x can be written as $x = d^k + c$, where $d^k = d^k \cdot \mathcal{V} \cdot d^k$ for fixed positive integer k and $\mathcal{V}^2 = 1$, $c \in N(\mathcal{R}) = 0$. So $x = d^k$, implies that $x^2 = d^{2k} = d^k \mathcal{V}$ and $x^3 = d^{3k} = (\mathcal{V}) \cdot d^k = d^k$. Therefore, from a classical theorem Jacobson, we get \mathcal{R} is commutative in [11] [11. **Proposition 2.11**]. $J(\mathcal{R}) \subset N(\mathcal{R}) = \{0\}$, that is $J(\mathcal{R}) = \bigcap_{M \in Max(\mathcal{R})} M = \{0\}$. Now, the function $f: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \pi_{M \in Max(\mathcal{R})} (\mathcal{R}/M)$ are homomorphism with Kernel $\bigcap_{M \in Max(\mathcal{R})} M = J(\mathcal{R})$. That is, we have an injection $\mathcal{R}/J(\mathcal{R})$ implies

$\pi_{M \in Max(\mathcal{R})} (\mathcal{R}/M)$, since $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{R}/J(\mathcal{R})$, that is $\mathcal{R} \cong \pi_{M \in Max(\mathcal{R})} (\mathcal{R}/M)$. Because \mathcal{R}/M is a field, it implies that M is a maximal ideal, \mathcal{R} is Simrnc ring, then by **Proposition 1** we get \mathcal{R}/M is Simrnc ring and a field must be isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}_2 \times \mathcal{Z}_3$.

Conversely, since every element x in $Z_2 \times Z_3$ can be expressed as $d^{2k} \mathcal{V} + 0$ for $d^{2k} \in k.reg(\mathcal{R})$ and $\mathcal{V} \in Inv.(\mathcal{R})$. ■

Lemma 1

If e is an idempotent element in SImrnc reduced ring, then $e\mathcal{R} \cap d^k\mathcal{R} \subseteq ed^k\mathcal{R}$. For every $d^k \in Imr(\mathcal{R})$ and if $ed^k = 0$, then $e\mathcal{R} \cap d^k\mathcal{R} = 0$.

Proof: -

Let $x = d^k + c$, where $d^k \in Imr(\mathcal{R})$ and $c \in N(\mathcal{R}) = \{0\}$. Hence $x = d^k \cdot \mathcal{V} \cdot d^k$ since $d^k\mathcal{V} = e$, then $d^k\mathcal{V}\mathcal{R} \cap x\mathcal{R} \subseteq (d^k\mathcal{V})x\mathcal{R}$ for every $x \in \mathcal{R}$. If $d^k\mathcal{V}x = 0$, then $d^k\mathcal{V}\mathcal{R} \cap d^k\mathcal{R} = 0$ and therefore $e\mathcal{R} \cap d^k\mathcal{R} = 0$. ■

Proposition 5

A reduced ring \mathcal{R} is SImrnc if and only if for any element x in \mathcal{R} , exists $d^k \in Imr(\mathcal{R})$ and $\mathcal{V} \in Inv(\mathcal{R})$ such that $x\mathcal{R} \cap (x - \mathcal{V})\mathcal{R} = 0$.

Proof: -

Let \mathcal{R} is SImrnc ring, $x \in \mathcal{R}$, then x can be written as $x = d^k + c$, for $d^k \in Imr(\mathcal{R})$ and $c \in N(\mathcal{R}) = \{0\}$. Hence $x = d^k \mathcal{V} d^k$, $\mathcal{V} \in Inv(\mathcal{R})$ implies that $x = d^k \mathcal{V}^{-1} d^k$, then $x = d^k = d^k \mathcal{V}^{-1} d^k$. Thus $d^k \mathcal{V}^{-1} (d^k - \mathcal{V}) = 0$. Since $d^k \mathcal{V} \in Id(\mathcal{R})$, $d^k \mathcal{V}^{-1} \mathcal{R} \cap (d^k - \mathcal{V})\mathcal{R} = 0$.

Conversely $x\mathcal{R} \cap (x - \mathcal{V})\mathcal{R} = 0$, so $d^k \mathcal{V}^{-1} \mathcal{R} \cap (d^k - \mathcal{V})\mathcal{R} = 0$ where $d^k \mathcal{V} \in Id(\mathcal{R})$, $(\mathcal{V}^{-1} = \mathcal{V})$, since $d^k \mathcal{V}^{-1} (d^k - \mathcal{V}) = 0$ indicates $x = d^k \mathcal{V}^{-1} d^k = d^k$ where $\mathcal{V} \in Inv(\mathcal{R})$. Hence $x = d^k \mathcal{V} d^k$ when $d^k \in Imr(\mathcal{R})$ and $c \in N(\mathcal{R}) = \{0\}$. Therefore x is SImrnc. ■

SImrnc -rings Z2h

We will establish some characteristics of $(Z_2^h, +, \cdot)$ rings.

Proposition 6

For every element x in $(Z_2^h, +, \cdot)$, $x - x^2$ is nilpotent element, if $2 \in N(\mathcal{R})$.

Proof: -

Since $(Z_2^h, +, \cdot)$ SImrnc - ring, then x can be written as $x = d^k + c$, where $d^k = d^k \cdot \mathcal{V} \cdot d^k$ for fixed positive integer $k \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{V}^2 = 1, c \in N(\mathcal{R})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } x^2 &= (d^k + c)^2 = d^k\mathcal{V} + 2d^k c + c^2 \\ &= d^k\mathcal{V} + 2d^k c. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x - x^2 &= d^k + c - d^k\mathcal{V} - 2d^k c \\ &= d^k(1 - \mathcal{V}) + (1 - 2d^k)c. \end{aligned}$$

Since $d^k(1 - \mathcal{V})$ and $(1 - 2d^k)c$ are nil potent elements. ■

Proposition 7

Let x be a non - zero divisor in $(Z_2^h, +, \cdot)$. Then x is a unit element if $2 \in U(\mathcal{R})$.

Proof: -

When $x \in \mathcal{R}$ is a non - zero divisor is in the ring $(Z_2^h, +, \cdot)$. From **Proposition 6**, we have $x^2 - x \in N(\mathcal{R})$ follows that $x^2 - x \in N(\mathcal{R})$, then there $r \in Z^+$ such that $(x^2 - x)^r = 0$ so $x^r(x - 1)^r = 0$. Since x^r is not a zero divisor, so $(x - 1)^r = 0$ that is $(x - 1) \in N(\mathcal{R})$. So implies that $x = n + 1$, put $n + 1 = u$, thus $x = u$. Therefore, every non - zero divisor is a unit. ■

Proposition 8

In the ring $(Z_2^h, +, \cdot)$, $J(\mathcal{R})$ is nil ideal, if $2 \in N(\mathcal{R})$.

Proof: -

Let $x \in J(\mathcal{R})$, then $x = d^k + c$, where $d^k = d^k \mathcal{V} d^k$ for fixed positive integer k and $\mathcal{V}^2 = 1$, $c \in N(\mathcal{R})$, $d^k \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V} d^k$, $d^k c = c d^k$. So $(1 - x)$ is unit and from **Proposition 6** we have $(x - x^2) \in N(\mathcal{R})$, assume that $\mathcal{W} = (x - x^2) \in N(\mathcal{R})$, which implies that $\mathcal{W} = x(1 - x)$ and hence $x = \mathcal{W}(1 - x)^{-1} \in N(\mathcal{R})$. Therefore, $J(\mathcal{R})$ is nilpotent ideal. ■

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to extend their appreciation to the College of Computer Science and Mathematics at the University of Mosul for its support of this report.

Competing Interests

The authors affirm that there are no conflicts of interest pertaining to the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] W. Chen, “Notes on Clean Rings and Clean elements,” *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, vol. 2998, no. 32, pp. 00--6, 2008.
- [2] N. Ashrafi and E. Nasibi, “r-Clean rings,” *arXiv Prepr. arXiv1104.2167*, pp. 1–7, 2011, doi: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1104.2167>.
- [3] A. J. Diesl, “Nil clean rings,” *J. Algebr.*, vol. 383, pp. 197–211, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2013.02.020.
- [4] W. K. Nicholson, “Lifting idempotents and exchange rings,” *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, vol. 229, pp. 269–278, 1977, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1977-0439876-2.
- [5] N. H. McCoy, “Generalized regular rings,” *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 175–178, 1939, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9904-1939-06933-4.
- [6] J. Chen, “On regularity of rings,” *Algebr. Colloq.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 267–274, 2001.
- [7] P. V. Danchev, “On weakly clean and weakly exchange rings having the strong property,” *Publ. l’Institut Math.*, vol. 101, no. 115, pp. 135–142, 2017, doi: 10.2298/PIM1715135D.
- [8] P. V. Danchev, “Invo-regular unital rings,” *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, Sect. A – Math.*, vol. 72, no. 1, p. 45, 2018, doi: 10.17951/a.2018.72.1.45-53.
- [9] D. M. Burton, *A first course in rings and ideals*. Addison-Wesley, 1970.
- [10] A. S. Mahmood and Z. Mohammed Ibraheem, “m-rnc rings,” *BIO Web Conf.*, vol. 97, p. 00005, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.1051/bioconf/20249700005.
- [11] N. Jacobson, “Structure Theory for Algebraic Algebras of Bounded Degree,” *Ann. Math.*, vol. 46, no. 4, p. 695, 1945, doi: 10.2307/1969205.