NTU Journal of Engineering and Technology (2023) 2 (3): 43-55
DOI: https://doi.org/10.56286/ntujet.v2i3.700

IRAQI Academic Scientific Journals

P-ISSN: 2788-9971 E-ISSN: 2788-998X
NTU Journal of Engineering and Technology
Available online at: https://journals.ntu.edu.iq/index.php/NTU-JET/index

Review Article on the Use of Lime Mortar in Heritage Buildings

Duaa M. Abed[1] , Jasim M. abed[1] , Zaid H. Al-Saffar[1]
[1] Department of Building and Construction Technology Engineering, Engineering Technical College, Northern Technical University, Mosul-Iraq

Article Informations

Received: 24-09- 2023,
Revised : 31-10-2023**,**
Accepted: 10-11-2023,
Published online: 21-11-2023

Corresponding author:
Name: Duaa M. Abed
Affiliation: Northern Technical University
Email:
Duaa.Mohammed1612@gmail.com

Key Words:
Historical buildings,
Pozzolan Material,
Application Techniques of Lime Gypsum Mortar,
Preservation Ethics,
NLP.

A B S T R A C T

This review article aims to comprehensively explore the historical significance and modern applications of gypsum, lime mortar, and pozzolanic mortar in heritage buildings. The study will investigate their properties, benefits, and limitations in the context of preservation and restoration, considering the impact of traditional construction practices on the structural integrity and cultural value of heritage structures. By analyzing existing literature, this review aims to formulate a research plan to further enhance our understanding and utilization of these materials in conserving invaluable heritage buildings.

Introduction

The sensitive restoration of historical structures necessitates a comprehensive knowledge of the original indigenous materials that constitute their fabric. This knowledge ensures a higher degree of compatibility and authenticity when making interventions [1][2]. Surface repairs must employ mortars with physical, mechanical, and chemical properties closely resembling those of the building's walls. Primarily, the objective of repair mortars should be safeguarding the original wall material. While the durability of the repair mortar itself is a secondary consideration, it is often prioritized over the primary objective. Mortars must guarantee the long-term integrity of their bond with the underlying earth substrate, a crucial yet challenging aspect [3].

Lime binder technologies hold a significant role in numerous building preservation endeavors, given their worldwide prominence in conveying traditional architectural methods. These binders find widespread application in various construction techniques employed in historic structures, encompassing concretes, mortars, plasters, renders, lime washes, and grouts[4][5]. Traditionally, binders and adhesives like lime, gypsum, and clayey soils were the norm, but around two centuries ago, Portland cement began to displace them[6][7]. These binders, characterized by their physical and mechanical attributes, substantially contributed to the evolution of vernacular architecture and conventional construction practices[8], primarily manifesting as mortars with lime as the essential binding element[9]. Mortars employed before the late 19th century are classified as old, traditional, or historical mortars[10]. Consequently, lime is now recognized as a material suitable for restoration and rehabilitation purposes[11]. To comprehend the performance and potential applications of lime as a construction material, a profound understanding of its manufacturing process and traditional application techniques is imperative[12].

Moreover, it is essential to grasp the material's durability attributes, response to environmental factors, and its inherent characteristics[13]. The restoration of historic buildings is a multifaceted endeavor demanding extensive labor and resources to repair and conserve architectural elements[14]. In Mexico, restoration constitutes a field within the construction sector that lags in scientific development focused on the utilization and investigation of materials compatible with existing ones. Lime takes precedence as the primary material for conducting such restoration efforts[15].

Background on Heritage Buildings and their Preservation

The act of preserving something is fundamentally rooted in human interest and the attachment of significance to it. Preservation is a result of ownership, where values are attributed to an object, thus making it crucial to protect and safeguard. This principle extends to the cultural possessions of humanity, which have garnered attention and care for their safeguarding. These human possessions are laden with historical, social, political, spiritual, and religious values, compelling us to conserve them. Motivations for preservation can range from aesthetic and artistic admiration to spiritual, religious, political, or social connections. Additionally, it may be driven by their connection to historical events or the lessons they impart. Economic and tourism benefits can also underpin the interest in preservation. These diverse factors and motivations collectively serve as strong driving forces, inspiring individuals to protect their heritage and ensure its transmission to future generations [16].

The movement to safeguard historical and ancient buildings considered integral to a nation's heritage has witnessed substantial growth since the establishment of the World Heritage Centre and the World Heritage List in 1972 (UNESCO 1972). The global recognition of the significance of historical sites has been widely acknowledged and discussed by numerous researchers[17][18]. Consequently, many heritage organizations have emerged to safeguard and manage cultural heritage, with numerous studies emphasizing the importance of conserving heritage architecture. Preserving the aesthetic quality and the exceptional universal value of heritage buildings is gaining increasing importance for governments and the professional disciplines overseeing heritage projects, including heritage consultants, architects, engineers, and project managers[19].

Importance of Lime Gypsum Mortar in Heritage Conservation

Lime gypsum mortar holds significance in heritage preservation for various reasons:

Compatibility: Lime mortar, being softer and more porous than masonry, serves as a sacrificial substrate. It allows for the evaporation of water and salts without harming the masonry[20].

Environmentally Friendly: Non-hydraulic lime has the capacity to absorb a substantial amount of carbon dioxide, making it an eco-friendly choice for both new construction and preservation efforts.

Historical Authenticity: Lime mortar is frequently employed to replicate historic mortar when restoring local structures, ensuring historical accuracy[21].

Predictable Characteristics: Natural hydraulic lime has a consistent distribution of silica and a high calcium content. This means that when specific proportions of sand are incorporated, the resulting mortar exhibits known properties for liquid and vapor permeability[22].

In summary, lime mortar plays a central role in traditional mortars, plasters, and renders. Its use is vital for the effective maintenance and restoration of traditional buildings. Please refer to Table 1 for an overview of previous research on this topic.

Table 1. Review the literature on the application of lime mortar in heritage buildings**.**

Authors	Methodology	Material used	Mix propration	Result
Khalil Naciri et al [23].	-Half prism's compressive strength and flexural (40*40*160mm) tests were performed on a mortar sample at 1 and 3 months. - Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD)	-Cement -Lime - Gypsum -Sand - Brick powder	replacing lime with 33%, 66%, and 100% of gypsum in mortars)	-The replacement of 66% sand with brick powder in mortars made of 33% lime and 66% gypsum reduced the bulk density and improved the brick–mortar bond strength, flexural strength, and compressive resistances in the dry state, especially in the saturated state. - XRD and SEM-EDS analyses revealed the presence of new CSH/CASH phases resulting from the reaction between lime and brick powder, which justifies the improvement of the mechanical characteristics of mortars containing waste brick.
Moslih Amer Salih et al[24].	Long-term setting time with the water content and the initial setting time tests were all determined. -compressive strength(50*50*50mm) determined at 7 days.	-gypsum -lime	-70,80,90 and 100% -10,20,30% (replacement by weight)	- The results showed the possibility of using lime as an additive to develop the production process of the gypsum, which can improve the mechanical property of the final product. The chemical effect of adding lime has accelerated the hydration process and reduced the setting time.
Degloorkar Nikhil Kumar et al[25]	- Specific gravity, consistency, and initial and final setting times of lime-based binders were analyzed for different levels of replacement of lime with fly ash/GGBS ranging from 0 to 75%. - compressive for cube specimen 70.4mm, and transverse strength tests for prism specimen 40*40*160mm	-Lime -Fly ash -Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBS)	-1:1 with 66% and 75 % replacement level of lime with GGBS. -1:3 with 75% replacement of lime with fly ash.	Lime-based mortar-GS has established better properties in terms of strength, porosity, water absorption, capillary absorption, alkali resistance, and resistance to thermal crystallization and salt. it can be used significantly with potential application as a repair material for heritage structures.
Mohamed Abd Almoty__et al[26].	- cubic sample mechanical pr operties (compressive, tensi le, and shear strength).	-Lime -White Cement -Gypsum -Lignin Sulfonate(% of lime) -Silica Fume (% of lime) -Sand	-1.5,2,2.5,3 -0.5,1,1.5 -0.5,1,1.5 -0.6,0.8 -5,10,15 -9 The ratio by weight of water to	-Lime-based mortars prepared using lignin sulfonate showed the highest compressive strength. - Lime-based mortars prepared using silica fume yielded the highest compressive, tensile, and shear strength.

			cementitious material ratio is 1.3 in all specimens.	
Eva Vejmelkova et al[27].	- A bulk density b [kg m-3], open porosity [Vol.-%], and matrix density m [kg m-3] were determined as the basic physical characteristics of the material. - the water vacuum saturation method was utilized to collect them. - compressive and bending strengths were determined.	-Lime -Metashale -Natural quartz and basalt sand 0 to.	-2.5,2.,1.5 -0.5,1.0 -7.5 (by mass)	- Addition of metashale improved compressive strength. -The water vapor transport properties, water transport parameters, and thermal parameters were somewhat worse.
Shanwei Wang et al [28].	- Consistency, shrinkage, and flexural strength for prismatic specimens (40 × 40 × 160 mm) were determined at 28 days, and UPV, surface hardness test, and compressive strength for the cubic specimen (50*50*50mm) -Pore structure test The nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). - X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), and SEM analyses were performed.	-Hydrated lime -Tung oil - Hemp fiber -w/b	-100 -0.8,2.4,4 -0.5,1 (by mass) -0.8	-The dosage of 1% natural hemp fiber showed favorable modification in terms of shrinkage, surface hardness, strength, ductility, and durability of the lime putties -There is a significant improvement in the mechanical behaviors of fiber-reinforced hybrid pastes. - The NMR results show that the additions of oil and fiber can ameliorate the pore size distribution.
Michelina Monaco et al[29].	-Traditional mortars have been tested through a mech anical test campaign using c ube specimens (40x40x40m m, 70x70x70mm), and tensile strength for prism specimens (40*40*160mm).	-Pozzolan -Lime	-3,4.11,4.17 -1.0 (by weight in Kg)	- In general, water-cured natural pozzolanic mortars show better mechanical performance compared with mortars made with the same mix proportions but cured in air.
Fernando G. Branco et al[30].	- Compressive(50*50*50mm),ultrasonic pulse velocity and flexural (40*40*160mm)strength tests were determined - To perform a test for shrinkage and cracking, a layer of mortar with a thickness of 20 mm was applied on the top surface of a brick measuring 300 mm x 200 mm x 90 mm. This layer simulated a coating layer and was used to create a specimen for the test.	-Aerial Lime -Hydraulic Lime -Lime Putty -Crushed Rock Powder Sand	-0.5,1 -0.5 -1 -1 -2,3 (dosage in volume)	- Lime putty mortars showed better mechanical properties after 90 days compared to other mortars. - Aerial lime mortars had better water absorption behavior(lowest absorption coefficient). - The ultrasonic pulse velocity was similar for all the studied mortars, which would indicate that they would have a similar global porosity, with slight differences between them. - The formation of shrinkage cracks in the surface of the mortar coatings was not detected at 28 and 90 hardening days.
Said Beldjilali et al[31].	- Mortar prisms of 40 * 40 * 160 mm were used to assess the physical and mechanical behavior of the gypsum mortars. - At 28 days, scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	-waste brick - superplasticizer	-0 -100% -5%	- The SEM analysis proves improvement in the mechanical behavior of the gypsum mortar made with waste brick. - 75% of waste brick is the optimum value for the replacement level of the waste brick to get a mortar suitable for

	and X-ray diffraction (XRD) samples were analyzed.			possible use in the rehabilitation of the architectural ornaments.
M. Stefanidou et al[32]	- 40 * 40 *160 mm prisms for flexural and compressive strength testing. - exposed to 30 freeze–thaw cycles at temperatures ranging from 15 °C to 30 °C.	-hydrated lime -natural pozzolan –white Portland cement I42.5. A polycarboxylic-based superplasticizer -standard AFNOR sand -natural river sand -recycled sand(RS) originating from the fine fraction	Lime and natural pozzolan in 1:1 proportion (by weight) and a combination of lime–natural pozzolan and white cement (C) in 1:0.8:0.2 ratio (also by weight). binder/aggregate ratio, 1/3 by weight.	The results showed that it is possible to incorporate RS in lime mortars used as repair materials in historic structures. The technical characteristics of these mortars, regarding mechanical strength, capillary absorption, and porosity properties are comparable to those achieved by conventional lime mortars used nowadays in repair works. Additionally, the structure of lime mortars with RS was coherent due to the formation of C–S–A needle-like crystals, while also the early strength was improved.

Historical Significance of Lime Gypsum Mortar

In the field of construction, gypsum paste and mortar stand as one of the oldest building materials globally. Its use has a rich history, notably in ancient Mesopotamia, present-day Iraq. Gypsum was employed as a bonding material for constructing houses, temples, and wall finishing. Historical sites in Iraq, such as Al Ekhaider Fort in Karbala, Babylon Temple in Babylon Province (Al Hillah City), and Al Mustansiria School in Baghdad, attest to the extensive use of gypsum in construction[33]. Gypsum, serving as a binder, remains a primary plastering material in Iraq. Even today, gypsum in powdered form remains a cornerstone of various construction applications. This enduring preference can be attributed to factors such as cost-effectiveness and the ready availability of raw materials. It is also utilized in the creation of insulating materials. As previously mentioned, gypsum continues to be a primary material for covering cement on walls before the introduction of Plaster of Paris. Furthermore, in the middle and south of Iraq, gypsum is employed as a binding material beneath mud tiles on roofs. Additionally, there is a persistent inclination toward employing gypsum mortar as a binding material for bricks in wall construction and ceilings in low-cost housing projects[24].

Lime is among the earliest binding materials used in construction. The ancient Greeks utilized lime, mixed with sand, to create masonry. Subsequently, Santorini stone, a pozzolan rich in silica, was added, transforming it into a hydraulic binder with the unique property of gaining mechanical strength even when submerged underwater[34]. The Romans made significant advancements in the understanding of lime mortars and pozzolans. Before 79 AD, artificial pozzolans like volcanic ash were used, but the eruption of Mount Vesuvius made natural ashes readily available for use in many grand Roman constructions[35]. However, with the development of Portland cement, lime, which had previously been the primary binder for all construction types, assumed a secondary role. Cement, being a more resilient binder that set quickly, necessitated less time to achieve superior mechanical performance. Consequently, knowledge concerning the production and application of ancient mortars, along with their characteristics, gradually faded over time[36].

Presently, one of the predominant issues afflicting buildings is the absence of maintenance, conservation, and rehabilitation efforts. These issues result in the deterioration and neglect of historical structures. Several countries have witnessed a significant surge in rehabilitation projects for existing buildings over recent decades. This highlights the need to evaluate the compatibility of modern building materials with their original counterparts, as harmful by-products can cause substantial damage to adjacent stone blocks. Research endeavors around the world have been initiated to address these requirements[37].

Tracing the Origins of Lime Gypsum Mortar in Heritage Construction

The utilization of lime in construction can be traced back to ancient times, with evidence dating as far back as 4000 B.C. when it was employed in Egypt for plastering the pyramids[38]. The Roman Empire was also renowned for its extensive use of lime-

based mortars. Lime mortar retained its prominence as a construction material until the 19th century when it was surpassed in popularity by Portland cement[39].

Lime mortar is classified as a traditional building material that held sway until the 19th century[40]. Its unique characteristics include a softer and more porous composition, rendering it a sacrificial substrate where the safe evaporation of water and salts can transpire without causing harm to the masonry[41]. In contemporary times, lime mortar still finds its place in heritage conservation efforts, particularly in the preservation of traditional buildings[42].

Cultural and Architectural Relevance of Lime Gypsum Mortar

Lime gypsum mortar holds a crucial role in the preservation of cultural heritage for several compelling reasons:

1. **Maintaining Authenticity:** Lime gypsum mortar, as a time-honored construction material with a history spanning thousands of years, plays a pivotal role in preserving the authenticity and historical precision of traditional buildings[43].
2. **Versatility:** Lime gypsum mortar offers remarkable versatility in terms of its composition. Mortars can be fashioned using different binders, including lime, gypsum, or cement, in combination with various aggregates like sand or grit[45].
3. **Safeguarding Traditional Craftsmanship:** The application of lime gypsum mortar in heritage conservation contributes to the protection of traditional craftsmanship and construction techniques. The knowledge and skills associated with working with lime mortar are transmitted across generations, ensuring the perpetuation of traditional building practices[46].

In summary, lime gypsum mortar assumes a vital role in cultural heritage preservation by virtue of its compatibility with historic structures, its capacity to preserve authenticity, its sustainable characteristics, its adaptability, and its role in upholding traditional craftsmanship. Its utilization in heritage conservation is instrumental in maintaining the authenticity and resilience of historical edifices, allowing future generations to appreciate their cultural significance[47].

Properties and Composition of Lime Gypsum Mortar

Lime gypsum mortar assumes a pivotal role in the fields of heritage preservation and architectural conservation, owing to its distinct physical and chemical properties. This mortar employs a ternary binder system, encompassing gypsum, hydrated lime, and a pozzolan material, meticulously crafted to ensure optimal performance in various conservation contexts.

Gypsum ($CaSO4 \cdot 2H2O$): A vital element within lime gypsum mortar, gypsum, a calcium sulfate compound, plays a crucial role in the setting and hardening process. When it reacts with water, gypsum forms dihydrate crystals, contributing to the overall strength of the mortar. Typically, gypsum constitutes approximately 10% to 15% of the mortar composition, enhancing both its mechanical and chemical properties[48].

Hydrated Lime ($Ca(OH)2$): Derived from the slaking of quicklime with water, hydrated lime serves a dual function in mortar formulation. Firstly, it engages in the carbonation process over time, absorbing carbon dioxide from the environment and transforming into calcium carbonate. This process not only reinforces the mortar's strength but also ensures its long-term durability. Secondly, hydrated lime acts as a binder, effectively bonding the mortar particles together. Typically, hydrated lime comprises 5% to 10% of the total mortar composition[49].

Pozzolan Material: The inclusion of a pozzolan material, such as silica fume, metakaolin, crushed ceramic, or granulated blast slag, introduces a critical element to the lime gypsum mortar blend. These materials, rich in amorphous silicates and aluminates, participate in a pozzolanic reaction when combined with lime. This reaction leads to the formation of additional binding compounds, thereby enhancing the mortar's strength and overall durability. The proportion of pozzolan material added to the mortar typically ranges from 5% to 10% of the total composition[50].

The precise combination of these constituents results in a mortar with distinct physical and chemical traits. Lime gypsum mortar's permeable nature facilitates controlled water and salt movement and evaporation, preventing potential harm to masonry. Its compatibility with historical structures, stemming from its soft and porous characteristics, enables safe evaporation without compromising the structural integrity of the masonry. Furthermore, the flexibility of lime gypsum mortar's composition allows customization to meet the specific conservation requirements of diverse projects[51].

In addition to its mechanical attributes, the

sustainability aspect of lime gypsum mortar deserves recognition. The capacity of non-hydraulic lime to absorb carbon dioxide aligns with contemporary ecological concerns, positioning lime gypsum mortar as an environmentally conscious choice for both construction and heritage preservation endeavors[52].

The meticulous combination of gypsum, hydrated lime, and a pozzolan material constitutes the foundation of lime gypsum mortar's physical and chemical composition. This precisely calibrated composition underscores its significance in the realms of heritage conservation and architectural maintenance[53].

Benefits of Lime Gypsum Mortar in Heritage Building Restoration

The restoration and preservation of heritage buildings present distinctive challenges, requiring the use of specialized materials and techniques. Among these, lime gypsum mortar has emerged as a versatile and effective solution, offering an array of advantages that contribute to the successful restoration and conservation of these historic structures. This article delves into the benefits of employing lime gypsum mortar in the restoration of heritage buildings, emphasizing its role in enhancing durability, maintaining historical authenticity, promoting sustainability, and ensuring long-term structural integrity[54].

Enhanced Durability: Lime gypsum mortar's composition, characterized by a ternary binder system comprising gypsum, hydrated lime, and a pozzolan material, confers remarkable durability to the renovated masonry. Gypsum bolsters the strength of the mortar matrix, while hydrated lime undergoes carbonation over time, further fortifying the material. This heightened durability guarantees that the restored heritage building can withstand environmental pressures and the test of time[55].

Preserving Historical Authenticity: The preservation of historical authenticity in heritage buildings stands as a matter of paramount significance. Lime gypsum mortar boasts properties that replicate the original mortars used in these structures, including permeability and compatibility. Its softer and more porous nature compared to masonry facilitates controlled evaporation of water and salts, preventing harm to the building fabric. This quality positions lime gypsum mortar as an ideal choice for upholding the authenticity and integrity of historical structures[56].

Long-Term Structural Integrity: Lime gypsum mortar's compatibility with existing building materials plays a pivotal role in ensuring the long-term structural integrity of heritage buildings. The mortar's flexible and permeable characteristics permit the controlled movement of water and salts, averting the accumulation of moisture that can lead to deterioration. This feature acts as a safeguard against common issues like efflorescence and freeze-thaw damage[57].

Adaptability to Conservation Needs: The composition of lime gypsum mortar can be tailored to address specific conservation requirements. The proportions of its constituents can be adjusted to attain desired properties, ensuring that the mortar effectively addresses the unique challenges posed by each restoration project. This adaptability contributes to the successful restoration of a wide range of heritage buildings with varying needs.

The incorporation of lime gypsum mortar in heritage building restoration offers a multitude of advantages that render it a valuable asset for conservationists and architects alike. Its augmented durability, preservation of historical authenticity, sustainability, long-term structural integrity, and adaptability underscore its significance in upholding the cultural heritage while meeting contemporary standards of durability and environmental responsibility [58].

Application Techniques of Lime Gypsum Mortar

Lime gypsum mortar, renowned for its distinctive qualities and effectiveness in the restoration of heritage buildings, necessitates precise application techniques for optimal outcomes. This article explores the intricacies of applying lime gypsum mortar, discussing the distinction between traditional and contemporary approaches and presenting real-world case studies that exemplify successful applications in heritage building restoration.

Traditional versus Modern Application Techniques

Traditional Application Techniques: In the traditional context, skilled artisans and craftsmen manually applied lime gypsum mortar employing time-honored methods. Hand trowels were the primary tools, allowing for meticulous placement and attention to detail, rendering them particularly suited for intricate areas and delicate restoration tasks. Although this approach demanded labor-intensive efforts, it paid homage to the craftsmanship of yesteryears and guaranteed that the restoration maintained its historical authenticity[59].

Modern Application Techniques: The advent of

technology has ushered in modern application techniques that expedite the restoration process while upholding quality standards. Mechanical methods such as spraying or pumping have gained prominence. These techniques offer advantages in terms of efficiency and uniform coverage, rendering them ideal for larger surface areas. Nonetheless, it is imperative to strike a balance between modern efficiency and the preservation of traditional craftsmanship[60].

Challenges and Considerations

The restoration of heritage buildings involves a multitude of intricate challenges and factors that require meticulous attention to guarantee the safeguarding of their historical importance and architectural authenticity. This article explores the multifaceted elements of restoring heritage structures, encompassing the ethical aspects of preservation, tackling potential structural and aesthetic issues, and offering guidance on striking a harmonious equilibrium between conservation and contemporary requirements.

Preservation Ethics and Authenticity in Restoration

Ethical Challenges in Restoration: The ethical principles underpinning the restoration of heritage buildings are of paramount importance. The ethical dilemma often arises from the need to preserve the historical character while accommodating contemporary demands. Achieving this equilibrium necessitates a restoration process that honors the authenticity of the original structure while acknowledging the evolving societal needs [61].

Preservation of Historical Authenticity: One of the foremost considerations in heritage restoration is the preservation of historical authenticity. This entails the use of materials, techniques, and application methods that faithfully replicate the craftsmanship of the past. For example, the utilization of lime gypsum mortar contributes to upholding authenticity by virtue of its compatibility with historical edifices and its capacity to emulate traditional mortar. Striving for historical precision while ensuring practicality represents a delicate yet essential undertaking [22].

Addressing Potential Structural and Aesthetic Issues

Preservation of Structural Integrity: Heritage building restoration often involves addressing structural challenges that have developed over time. These issues, including aging foundations, deteriorating masonry, and compromised structural components, require meticulous evaluation and rectification. While lime gypsum mortar contributes to the stability of restored structures, a collaborative effort among conservation experts, architects, and engineers is vital to ensure both the preservation of the building's form and its functionality[62].

Consideration of Aesthetic Aspects: Restoration efforts must also consider aesthetic factors to retain the building's original appearance. Achieving a balance between historical accuracy and contemporary aesthetics can be a complex task[63]. Lime gypsum mortar's flexibility allows for tailor-made mortar compositions that accommodate both structural and aesthetic needs, thereby enhancing the success of the restoration.

Heritage building restoration is a multifaceted undertaking that involves the careful examination of preservation ethics, historical authenticity, structural soundness, and aesthetic considerations. The judicious use of materials like lime gypsum mortar can assist in navigating these challenges, ensuring that heritage buildings continue to serve as tangible reminders of our cultural heritage while meeting the demands of the present day[64].

Future Outlook and Innovations in Cultural Heritage Restoration

Cultural heritage restoration is a vital process aimed at safeguarding our history and heritage for future generations. As technology continues to advance, several prospects and innovations have the potential to enhance the restoration process. Two specific areas of innovation include:

Integrating Natural Language Processing (NLP) in Heritage Building Restoration

Natural Language Processing (NLP), a branch of artificial intelligence, focuses on the interaction between computers and human language. Its integration into heritage building restoration can offer several advantages, including:

Efficient Documentation: NLP can automate the analysis and extraction of information from historical documents like architectural plans, historical records, and conservation reports. This can significantly expedite the documentation process and ensure the accurate preservation of heritage buildings[65].

Semantic Analysis: NLP techniques can be employed to analyze and comprehend the context and meaning of historical texts. This allows restoration experts to gain deeper insights into the original design and construction techniques used in heritage buildings[66].

Automated Translation: NLP can facilitate the translation of historical documents written in various languages, enabling restoration experts to access valuable information from diverse sources[67].

Enhanced Communication: NLP can improve communication among restoration experts, architects, and stakeholders by providing automated language translation and facilitating efficient collaboration[68].

Synergizing Lime Gypsum Mortar with Contemporary Sustainable Practices

Lime gypsum mortar is a traditional building material that has been used for centuries in heritage building restoration. By synergizing lime gypsum mortar with contemporary sustainable practices, we can achieve the following benefits:

Improved Durability: Lime gypsum mortar has excellent durability and breathability, allowing moisture to escape from the building and preventing damage caused by trapped moisture. By combining it with sustainable additives, such as recycled materials or natural fibers, we can further enhance its durability and reduce environmental impact[69].

Reduced Carbon Footprint: Lime gypsum mortar is known for its low carbon footprint compared to cement-based materials. By incorporating sustainable practices, such as using locally sourced materials and reducing energy consumption during production, we can further minimize the environmental impact of heritage building restoration[70].

Enhanced Energy Efficiency: Lime gypsum mortar has excellent thermal properties, providing natural insulation to heritage buildings. By integrating energy-efficient technologies, such as smart sensors and renewable energy systems, we can optimize the energy performance of restored heritage buildings[71].

Preservation of Traditional Techniques: Synergizing lime gypsum mortar with contemporary sustainable practices allows us to preserve traditional building techniques while embracing modern environmental standards. This ensures the authenticity and cultural significance of restored heritage buildings[72].

incorporating NLP in heritage building restoration and synergizing lime gypsum mortar with contemporary sustainable practices offer promising prospects and innovations in the field of cultural heritage preservation. These advancements can enhance the efficiency, accuracy, and sustainability of restoration efforts, ensuring the preservation of our rich cultural heritage for generations to come.

Conclusion

In summary, lime gypsum mortar is undeniably pivotal in the conservation of heritage buildings. With centuries of historical use, it has proven to be an effective material for repairing and restoring these iconic structures. Lime mortar's breathable properties allow for moisture release, safeguarding the building's structure. Its local production potential also contributes to sustainability by reducing the conservation process's carbon footprint. However, to ensure lasting heritage preservation, further research and application of lime gypsum mortar are imperative. This entails in-depth investigations into its properties, compatibility with various masonry types, and the advancement of new application techniques. Investing in research and advocating for the use of lime gypsum mortar in heritage building conservation are essential steps to safeguard our cultural heritage for future generations. In conclusion, lime gypsum mortar's effectiveness and sustainability in heritage conservation are well-established, but continuous research and application are vital to ensure heritage preservation. As we conclude our exploration of the intricate world of heritage building conservation, the paramount role of lime gypsum mortar remains evident, calling for ongoing efforts to achieve sustainable heritage preservation

Recapitulation of Lime Gypsum Mortar's Role in Heritage Building Conservation

In this discussion, we have revealed the versatile and essential qualities of lime gypsum mortar in the context of heritage building conservation. Its unique composition, which includes gypsum, hydrated lime, and pozzolan materials, offers a flexible solution for addressing various restoration challenges. Whether it's the permeability that protects masonry or its compatibility with historical authenticity, lime gypsum mortar clearly demonstrates its value as a protector of our heritage.

Call to Action: Continued Research and Application for Sustainable Heritage Preservation

The path toward the future requires a unified effort to advance in the field of heritage preservation. This imperative highlights the importance of continuous research and the practical use of lime gypsum mortar. There exists boundless potential for innovation, including the incorporation of state-of-the-art technologies, sustainable materials, and comprehensive conservation methods. By advocating for a holistic approach and encouraging interdisciplinary cooperation, the preservation of our architectural heritage can thrive sustainably.

In summary, lime gypsum mortar is not just a building material; it symbolizes the harmonious fusion of tradition and innovation. Its effectiveness in heritage building conservation is evident, addressing the complexities of restoration. As stewards of our past, it is our shared duty to respond to this call for action and drive forward the field of heritage preservation, supported by the enduring capabilities of lime gypsum mortar.

Reference

[1] P. Gibbons, Preparation And Use Of Lime Mortars. 2003.

[2] J. J. Hughes And J. Válek, Mortars In Historic Buildings: A Review Of The Conservation, Technical And Scientific Literature. Historic Scotland, 2003.

[3] M. I. Gomes, T. D. Gonçalves, And P. Faria, "Earth-Based Repair Mortars: Experimental Analysis With Different Binders And Natural Fibers," Rammed Earth Conserv., No. June, Pp. 661–668, 2012, Doi: 10.1201/B15164-115.

[4] A. M. Forster And K. Carter, "A Framework For Specifying Natural Hydraulic Lime Mortars For Masonry Construction," Struct. Surv., Vol. 29, No. 5, Pp. 373–396, 2011, Doi: 10.1108/02630801111182411.

[5] A. Duarte Alonso, Kok, Sk, And S. O Brien, "Ljmu Research Online M," Tour. Recreat. Res., P. 19, 2018, [Online]. Available: Http://Researchonline.Ljmu.Ac.Uk/Id/Eprint/8705/

[6] J. Elsen, "Microscopy Of Historic Mortars-A Review," Cem. Concr. Res., Vol. 36, No. 8, Pp. 1416–1424, 2006, Doi: 10.1016/J.Cemconres.2005.12.006.

[7] M. Do Rosário Veiga, A. Fragata, A. L. Velosa, A. C. Magalhães, And G. Margalha, "Lime-Based Mortars: Viability For Use As Substitution Renders In Historical Buildings," Int. J. Archit. Herit., Vol. 4, No. 2, Pp. 177–195, 2010.

[8] I. Villaseñor Alonso And L. Barba Pingarrón, "Los Orígenes Tecnológicos De La Cal," Cuicuilco, Vol. 19, No. 55, Pp. 11–41, 2012.

[9] E. Aggelakopoulou, A. Bakolas, And A. Moropoulou, "Lime Putty Versus Hydrated Lime Powder: Physicochemical And Mechanical Characteristics Of Lime-Based Mortars," Constr. Build. Mater., Vol. 225, Pp. 633–641, 2019, Doi: 10.1016/J.Conbuildmat.2019.07.218.

[10] X. Liu, X. Ma, And B. Zhang, "Analytical Investigations Of Traditional Masonry Mortars From Ancient City Walls Built During Ming And Qing Dynasties In China," Int. J. Archit. Herit., Vol. 10, No. 5, Pp. 663–673, 2016.

[11] D. Carran, J. Hughes, A. Leslie, And C. Kennedy, "A Short History Of The Use Of Lime As A Building Material Beyond Europe And North America," Int. J. Archit. Herit., Vol. 6, No. 2, Pp. 117–146, 2012.

[12] P. J. Jaime-Ledesma, "La Técnica Constructiva En La Arquitectura," Legado Arquit. Y Diseño, Vol. 9, No. 15, Pp. 21–30, 2014.

[13] F. Fernandez Et Al., "Development Of Eco-Friendly And Self-Cleaning Lime-Pozzolan Plasters For Bio-Construction And Cultural Heritage," Buildings, Vol. 10, No. 10, Pp. 1–12, 2020, Doi: 10.3390/Buildings10100172.

[14] A. Speziale Et Al., "Development Of Multifunctional Coatings For Protecting Stones And Lime Mortars Of The Architectural Heritage," Int. J. Archit. Herit., Vol. 14, No. 7, Pp. 1008–1029, 2020.

[15] V. Loganina, K. Sergeeva, R. Fediuk, S. Klyuev, N. Vatin, And Y. Vasilev, "Modified Lime Binders For Restoration Work," Buildings, Vol. 11, No. 3, Pp. 1–15, 2021, Doi: 10.3390/Buildings11030098.

[16] سلمان أحمد المحاري, حفظ المباني التاريخية. 2017.

[17] G. F. Araoz, "Preserving Heritage Places Under A New Paradigm," J. Cult. Herit. Manag. Sustain. Dev., Vol. 1, No. 1, Pp. 55–60, 2011.

[18] A. M. Forster And B. Kayan, "Maintenance For Historic Buildings: A Current Perspective," Struct. Surv., Vol. 27, No. 3, Pp. 210–229, 2009.

[19] R. Mason, "Economics And Historic Preservation," Washington, Dc Brookings Inst., Pp. 35–100, 2005.

[20] A. Palomo, M. T. Blanco-Varela, S. Martinez-Ramirez, F. Puertas, And C. Fortes, "Historic Mortars: Characterization And Durability. New Tendencies For Research," In Advanced Research Centre For Cultural Heritage Interdisciplinary Projects, Fifth Framework Programme

Workshop, 2002.

[21] J. Schork, "Dolomitic Lime In The Us: History, Development And Physical Characteristics," J. Archit. Conserv., Vol. 18, No. 3, Pp. 7–25, 2012.

[22] G. Allen, Hydraulic Lime Mortar For Stone, Brick And Block Masonry: A Best Practice Guide. Routledge, 2015.

[23] A. C. Khalil Naciri, Issam Aalil, "Eco-Friendly Gypsum-Lime Mortar With The Incorporation Of Recycled Waste Brick," Elsevier, Vol. 325, 2022, Doi: Https://Doi.Org/10.1016/J.Conbuildmat.2022.126770.

[24] M. A. Salih And A. A. Hussein, "Enhancing The Compressive Strength Property Of Gypsum Used In Walls Plastering By Adding Lime," J. Univ. Babylon Eng. Sci., Vol. 26, No. 3, Pp. 58–66, 2018.

[25] D. N. Kumar And P. R. Kumar, "Investigations On Alternate Lime-Pozzolana Based Mortars For Repair Of Heritage Structures," Constr. Build. Mater., Vol. 341, P. 127776, 2022.

[26] M. Abdel-Mooty, S. Khedr, And T. Mahfouz, "Evaluation Of Lime Mortars For The Repair Of Historic Buildings," Wit Trans. Built Environ., Vol. 109, Pp. 209–220, 2009, Doi: 10.2495/Str090191.

[27] E. Vejmelkova, P. Maca, P. Konvalinka, And R. Cerny, "Innovative Lime-Pozzolana Renders For The Reconstruction Of Historical Buildings," Adv. Mater. Res., Vol. 324, Pp. 372–375, 2011, Doi: 10.4028/Www.Scientific.Net/Amr.324.372.

[28] S. Wang, W. Yao, Z. Lu, S. Wang, B. Li, And B. Liu, "Characterization And Durability Assessment Of Fiber-Reinforced Tung Oil Lime Putties For Restoration," J. Build. Eng., Vol. 38, No. January, P. 102241, 2021, Doi: 10.1016/J.Jobe.2021.102241.

[29] M. M. 1 And A. T. 2 And M. G. 2, Marianna Aurilio 2, "Heritage Field," Pp. 1–16, 2021.

[30] F. G. Branco, M. De L. Belgas, C. Mendes, L. Pereira, And J. M. Ortega, "Mechanical Performance Of Lime Mortar Coatings For Rehabilitation Of Masonry Elements In Old And Historical Buildings," Sustain., Vol. 13, No. 6, 2021, Doi: 10.3390/Su13063281.

[31] S. Beldjilali, A. Bougara, J. Aguiar, N.-E. Bouhamou, And R. Dabbebi, "Properties Of A New Material Based On A Gypsum Matrix Incorporating Waste Brick," Constr. Build. Mater., Vol. 259, P. 120416, 2020.

[32] M. Stefanidou, E. Anastasiou, And K. Georgiadis Filikas, "Recycled Sand In Lime-Based Mortars," Waste Manag., Vol. 34, No. 12, Pp. 2595–2602, 2014, Doi: 10.1016/J.Wasman.2014.09.005.

[33] P. Z. A. M. Raouf, A. Prof, N. Mahdy, And A. A.- Obaidi, " تافاضملا مادختسإ صجلا ,صاوخ نيسحت," 2012.

[34] M. G. Margalha, M. Do R. Veiga, And J. De Brito, "Algumas Vantagens Do Uso Da Cal Em Pasta Em Revestimentos," 2º Encontro Nac. Sobre Patol. E Reabil. Edifícios, 2006.

[35] A. Z. Coelho, F. Pacheco-Torgal, And S. Jalali, "A Cal Na Construção." Universidade Do Minho. Tecminho, 2009.

[36] R. Veiga, "Air Lime Mortars: What Else Do We Need To Know To Apply Them In Conservation And Rehabilitation Interventions? A Review," Constr. Build. Mater., Vol. 157, Pp. 132–140, 2017, Doi: 10.1016/J.Conbuildmat.2017.09.080.

[37] A. R. Santos, M. Do Rosário Veiga, A. S. Silva, J. De Brito, And J. I. Álvarez, "Evolution Of The Microstructure Of Lime-Based Mortars And Influence On The Mechanical Behavior: The Role Of The Aggregates," Constr. Build. Mater., Vol. 187, Pp. 907–922, 2018.

[38] M. R. Y González-Barros, "Industrial Minerals & Rocks: Our Invisible Friends," Eur. Geol. Eur. Geol., P. 34, 2013.

[39] J. Elsen, "Microscopy Of Historic Mortars—A Review," Cem. Concr. Res., Vol. 36, No. 8, Pp. 1416–1424, 2006.

[40] A. M. S. Loureiro, S. P. A. Da Paz, M. Do Rosário Veiga, And R. S. Angélica, "Investigation Of Historical Mortars From Belém Do Pará, Northern Brazil," Constr. Build. Mater., Vol. 233, P. 117284, 2020.

[41] R. Talib, A. G. Ahmad, A. M. Sabri, And M. Z. Suleiman, "Classification Of Factor Affecting External Wall Defects Focusing On Lime Material Of Heritage Buildings In Penang, Malaysia," J. Emerg. Trends Eng. Appl. Sci., Vol. 5, No. 5, Pp. 295–299, 2014.

[42] N. Arıoglu And S. Acun, "A Research About A Method For Restoration Of Traditional Lime Mortars And Plasters: A Staging System Approach," Build. Environ.,

Vol. 41, No. 9, Pp. 1223–1230, 2006.

[43] M. Caroselli, S. A. Ruffolo, And F. Piqué, "Mortars And Plasters—How To Manage Mortars And Plasters Conservation," Archaeol. Anthropol. Sci., Vol. 13, No. 11, P. 188, 2021.

[44] K. S. Al-Hague, "Sustainable Urban Development In Historical Areas Using The Tourist Trail Approach: A Case Study Of The Cultural Heritage And Urban Development (Chud) Project In Saida, Lebanon," Cities, Vol. 27, No. 4, Pp. 234–248, 2010.

[45] G. Tyagi, A. Singhal, S. Routroy, D. Bhunia, And M. Lahoti, "Radiation Shielding Concrete With Alternate Constituents: An Approach To Address Multiple Hazards," J. Hazard. Mater., Vol. 404, P. 124201, 2021.

[46] T. Selvaraj, P. Devadas, J. L. Perumal, A. Zabaniotou, And M. Ganesapillai, "A Comprehensive Review Of The Potential Of Step-Wells As Sustainable Water Management Structures," Water, Vol. 14, No. 17, P. 2665, 2022.

[47] S. Mehmood, J. Muhammad, And A. Ahmad, "The Lime Compositions For Traditional Building Restoration And Decorating," Palarch's J. Archaeol. Egypt/Egyptology, Vol. 19, No. 1, Pp. 33–48, 2022.

[48] S. Y. Pirieva, "Utilization Of Gypsum-Bearing Wastes In Materials Of The Construction Industry And Other Areas".

[49] M. Upshaw And C. S. Cai, "Feasibility Study Of Mk-Based Geopolymer Binder For Rac Applications: Effects Of Silica Fume And Added Cao On Compressive Strength Of Mortar Samples," Case Stud. Constr. Mater., Vol. 14, P. E00500, 2021.

[50] Y. Cao, Y. Wang, Z. Zhang, Y. Ma, And H. Wang, "Recent Progress Of Utilization Of Activated Kaolinitic Clay In Cementitious Construction Materials," Compos. Part B Eng., Vol. 211, P. 108636, 2021.

[51] T. C. D. Gonçalves, "Salt Crystallization In Plastered Or Rendered Walls." Laboratorio Nacional De Engenharia Civil (Portugal), 2007.

[52] M. A. N. Oliveira, "A Multi-Physics Approach Applied To Masonry Structures With Non-Hydraulic Lime Mortars." Universidade Do Minho (Portugal), 2015.

[53] K. K. B. Alfonso, M. K. M. Atud, S. I. T. Fulguirinas, And C. J. A. Castro, "Econstruction: Analysis Of Market-Available Green Cement In Building Sustainable Structures And Reducing Carbon Pollution," 2023.

[54] C. Rodríguez-Navarro, "Binders In Historical Buildings: Traditional Lime In Conservation," Semin. Sem, Vol. 9, Pp. 91–112, 2012.

[55] K. Sobolev, M. Kozhukhova, K. Sideris, E. Menéndez, And M. Santhanam, "Alternative Supplementary Cementitious Materials," Prop. Fresh Hardened Concr. Contain. Suppl. Cem. Mater. State-Of-The-Art Rep. Rilem Tech. Comm. 238-Scm, Work. Gr. 4, Pp. 233–282, 2018.

[56] A. El-Turki, R. J. Ball, S. Holmes, W. J. Allen, And G. C. Allen, "Environmental Cycling And Laboratory Testing To Evaluate The Significance Of Moisture Control For Lime Mortars," Constr. Build. Mater., Vol. 24, No. 8, Pp. 1392–1397, 2010.

[57] F. Glasser, "Application Of Inorganic Cement To The Conditioning And Immobilization Of Radioactive Wastes," In Handbook Of Advanced Radioactive Waste Conditioning Technologies, Elsevier, 2011, Pp. 67–135.

[58] M. Shan, Y.-F. Chen, Z. Zhai, And J. Du, "Investigating The Critical Issues In The Conservation Of Heritage Building: The Case Of China," J. Build. Eng., Vol. 51, P. 104319, 2022.

[59] E. M. Golden, Building From Tradition: Local Materials And Methods In Contemporary Architecture. Routledge, 2017.

[60] X. Liu, P. K. Chu, And C. Ding, "Surface Modification Of Titanium, Titanium Alloys, And Related Materials For Biomedical Applications," Mater. Sci. Eng. R Reports, Vol. 47, No. 3–4, Pp. 49–121, 2004.

[61] F. Bandarin And R. Van Oers, The Historic Urban Landscape: Managing Heritage In An Urban Century. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.

[62] G. G. Akkurt Et Al., "Dynamic Thermal And Hygrometric Simulation Of Historical Buildings: Critical Factors And Possible Solutions," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., Vol. 118, P. 109509, 2020.

[63] J. M. Fitch, Historic Preservation: Curatorial

Management Of The Built World. University Of Virginia Press, 1990.

[64] J. Earl And A. Saint, Building Conservation Philosophy. Routledge, 2015.

[65] M. M. Abdelrahman, S. Zhan, C. Miller, And A. Chong, "Data Science For Building Energy Efficiency: A Comprehensive Text-Mining Driven Review Of Scientific Literature," Energy Build., Vol. 242, P. 110885, 2021.

[66] V. Gupta And G. S. Lehal, "A Survey Of Text Mining Techniques And Applications," J. Emerg. Technol. Web Intell., Vol. 1, No. 1, Pp. 60–76, 2009.

[67] A. Meroño-Peñuela Et Al., "Semantic Technologies For Historical Research: A Survey," Semant. Web, Vol. 6, No. 6, Pp. 539–564, 2015.

[68] X. Zhang, J. Shah, And M. Han, "Chatgpt For Fast Learning Of Positive Energy District (Ped): A Trial Testing And Comparison With Expert Discussion Results," Buildings, Vol. 13, No. 6, P. 1392, 2023.

[69] P. Walker, "Chapter 72: Low-Carbon Natural Building Materials," Ice Man. Constr. Mater. Vol. Ii Fundam. Theory; Concr. Asph. Road Constr. Mason., Pp. 831–847, 2009.

[70] K. Naciri, I. Aalil, And A. Chaaba, "Eco-Friendly Gypsum-Lime Mortar With The Incorporation Of Recycled Waste Brick," Constr. Build. Mater., Vol. 325, P. 126770, 2022.

[71] H. Erhorn And H. Erhorn-Kluttig, "Selected Examples Of Nearly Zero-Energy Buildings," Rep. Concert. Action Epbd, Pp. 65–66, 2014.

[72] A. Manoharan And C. Umarani, "Lime Mortar, A Boon To The Environment: Characterization Case Study And Overview," Sustainability, Vol. 14, No. 11, P. 6481, 2022.