



P-ISSN: 2788-9890 E-ISSN: 2788-9904

NTU Journal of Agricultural and Veterinary Sciences

Available online at: <https://journals.ntu.edu.iq/index.php/NTU-JAVS/index>



Effect of Urea on Food Compound Digestion Coefficient, Properties of Rumen Fluid and Some Biochemical of Blood in Awassi Lambs

^{1st} Mohammed W. M. Al-juhaishi ¹ , ^{2nd} Muthana A. M. Tayeb ² ^{3rd} Emad M. S. Duhil ³
1. Therapeutic Nutrition Department, Nimrud Technical Institute, Northern Technical University, Mosul, Iraq.
2,3. Ph.D.College of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Mosul.

Article Information

Received: 27-12- 2024,
Accepted: 13-08-2024,
Published online: 28-12-2025

Corresponding author:
Mohammed W. M. Al-juhaishi
Northern Technical University
Mosul, Iraq.
Email:
mohammed.waad88@ntu.edu.iq

Keywords:
Awassi lambs,
urea,
Dry matter,
protozoa,
globulin.

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted in the sheep field of the Agricultural Technical College in Mosul to find out the effect of adding Urea to the concentrated diet with four levels (0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0)% on the digestibility coefficient of food compounds characteristics of rumen fluid, weights of lambs and some blood characteristics. Four Awassi lambs with an average weight of 33 kg, an average age 4-6 months were used in the experiment. The experiment was carried out using (4x4) Latin Square Design in four periods of 20 days each.

The results showed significant differences in the digestibility coefficient of dry matter, protein and fiber, while no significant differences appeared in the digestibility coefficient of organic matter and ether extract. The results also showed that there were no significant differences in pH, ammonia Concentration and numbers of protozoa in rumen fluid before feeding, while significant differences appeared in pit, ammonia concentration and protozoa numbers in the rumen fluid after feeding, also in the preparation of bacteria before and after feeding. There were also significant differences in the average final weight (Kg), total weight gain (kg) and daily. weight gain (gm). In blood characteristics, no significant differences appeared in the Concentration of total protein, albumin, globulin, creatinine, cholesterol and triglycerides, while significant differences appeared in the concentration of urea and enzymes ALT and AST.



©2025 NTU JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL AND VETERINARY SCIENCES, NORTHERN TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY.
THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY LICENSE: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

How to cite: Al-Juhaishi, M. W., TAYEB, M. A. M., & DUHIL, E. M. S. (2025). Effect of Urea on Food Compound Digestion Coefficient, Properties of Rumen Fluid and Some Biochemical of Blood in Awassi Lambs. *NTU Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 5(4).

Introduction

Nutrition is an important aspect in animal production projects as it constitutes a large proportion of the production cost [1] especially in arid and semi-arid regions, including Iraq which suffer from a shortage of resources needed to feed agricultural animals, especially ruminants [2]. The shortage of fodder materials and field crops leads to an increase in their prices, especially field crop seeds, due to the limited production of these crops and the high prices of their imports, many studies have investigated the use of other cheap nitrogenous, non-protein alternatives [3], based on the ability of microorganisms present in the animals rumen to benefit from non protein nitrogen (NPN) Compounds in the formation of bacterial protein [4]. Urea the most widespread and least expensive, is a rich source of nitrogen [5], the limitation of the use of urea is due to its toxicity in case of excessive use [6], its high decomposition and its rapid conversion into ammonia, which works to increase the Concentration of ammonia rapidly during the first hour of consumption, which makes the rate of conversion of urea into ammonia faster than the ability of rumen microbes to absorb it, therefore it passes from the rumen to the liver to be transformed into urea and is excreted, the majority of it passes through the kidneys by the (ornithine citrulline) cycle, and the accumulation of ammonia high concentrations may lead to animal poisoning [7] and [8]. Therefore urea should not be added to the feed in high proportions and its addition should be gradual, because using high concentrations of urea leads to advanced ammonia toxicity, muscle disorders and contractions, excessive Saliva secretion frequent urination and stool, rapid breathing, imbalance and perhaps death in most cases [9] and [10].

This study aims to know the effect of adding urea to the feed on digestibility Coefficient of food compounds, characteristics of rumen fluid, lambs weight and Some blood characteristics.

Materials and Methods

This experiment was conducted in the sheep field of the Agricultural Technical College in Mosul, using four Awassi lambs whose ages ranged between 4-6 months and their average weight 33 Kg, they were divided into four coefficients using a Latin Square method (4x4) in four periods each period lasted 20 days according to [11] and [12] the diets used in the experimental treatments were as Shown in Table (1). The percentage of dry matter, crude protein and total energy shows the chemical and laboratory analysis according to [13], while the

amount of metabolic energy was calculated on the basis of dry matter as stated in [14].

The samples of the experiment were taken in the last five days of each period, the samples of rumen fluid were taken before and two hours after feeding, by mouth using a rubber tube to the rumen of (100 ml) approximately and the pH was measured directly by PH meter and then filtered the liquid by medical gauze and took 20 ml and added to it 1 ml of hydrochloric acid concentration of 10% to estimate ammonia and according to [15], where the ammonia in rumen fluid was estimated according to the mode of action reported by [16], then take 6 ml of the sample and added 10 ml of formalin Concentration of 10% placed in plastic cans and kept by Cooling at (5°C) until the bacteria and protozoa are counted. Blood samples. were also with drawn from the jugular vein two hours after the evening feed, the blood serum was separated using a Centrifuge (3000 cycle/minute) for 10 minutes and kept at (-20°C) until analysis, and to estimate the Concentration of urea, total protein, albumin, globulin, Creatine, cholesterol, triglycerides, ALT enzyme and AST enzyme.

The experiment data was statistically analyzed using [14] program, by a Latin Square Design according to the mathematical model.

$Y_{ij}(k) = \mu + P_i + Y_j + T_k + E_{ij}(K)$

$y_{ij}(K)$: The observation value of the experimental unit of the transaction which located in the row (i) or Column (j).

μ : General average value.

P_i : The true impact value of the class.

T_k : The value of the real impact of the transaction.

Y_j : The true impact value of the column.

$E_{ij}(K)$: Experimental error of experimental units.

Means were compared using Duncan's multiple range test [17].

Results and Discussion

Table (2) indicates the emergence of significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) in the digestibility Coefficient of dry matter (74.98, 76.24, 77.25, 77.40)%, protein (84.30, 85.70, 88.10, 89.19)% and fiber (37.71, 38.95, 39.22, 39.96)% these results agreed with the results of [18] and did not agree with the results of [19] This improvement may be due to the effect of urea in improving the rumen environment necessary for the growth of microorganisms, while no significant differences appeared in the digestibility coefficient of organic matter (77.90, 78.09, 80.26, 79.19)% and ether extract (56.63, 57.04, 57.88, 58.97)% these results agreed with results of [20] and did not agree with the results of [21].

Table (3) showed that there no significant differences in the pH of rumen fluid before feeding (6.85, 6.83, 6.82, 6.82), and significant differences appeared ($p \leq 0.05$) after feeding (5.64, 5.78, 5.47, 5.44), these results agreed with the results of [22] while they did not agree with the results of [23] The reason may be due to the effect of adding urea on reducing rumen acidity , as shown in Table (4) there were no significant differences in ammonia concentration before feeding (5.87, 5.68, 6.07, 5.99) mg/100ml, while significant differences appeared ($p \leq 0.05$) after feeding (7.00, 6.90, 7.29, 7.56) mg/100ml these results agreed with the results of [23] the results did not agree with the results of [24] The reason may be due to the addition of urea and its decomposition directly inside the rumen, leading to an increase in concentration, depending on the percentage added, the results showed significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) in the numbers of bacteria in the rumen fluid before feeding (20.000, 21.500, 21.750, 21.375) $\times 10^6$ and after Feeding (30.750, 37.250, 43.250, 45.500) $\times 10^6$ these results agreed with results of [25] and did not agree with the results of [26] this effect may be due to the nature of the rumen in the animal itself, Urea may also affect the number of bacteria after feeding, the results indicated that there were no significant differences in the rumen fluid before feeding (5.25, 5.75, 5.50, 5.25) $\times 10^3$ and significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) appeared in the numbers of protuzoa after feeding (6.375, 6.500, 7.225, 6.750) $\times 10^3$, these results aggreed with the results of [25] and did not agree with the results. of [27] This numerical increase may be due to the effect of urea in improving the rumen environment after feeding.

Table (4) shows the initial body weight of the experimental lambs (31.0, 35.0, 31.5, 34.5) kg, the final weight (34.5, 37.5 ,35.0, 38.0) kg, the total weight gain (3.5, 2.5, 4.0, 3.5) kg and the daily weight gain (233.33, 178.57, 266-66, 233.33) gm, these results indicate the emergence of significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) in total weight gain and daily weight gain, these results agreed with the results of [28] while they did not agree with the results of [29] This is maybe belong to the effect of urea in improving the rumen environment of the animal as well as increasing protein intake .

The results in Table (5) indicated that there were no significant differences in the Concentration of total protein in blood serum (6.42, 6.38, 6.44, 6.39) gm/100 ml, albumin (3.53, 3.55, 3.55, 3.53) gm/100ml, globulin (2.82, 2.78, 2.84, 2.77) gm/100ml, cholesterol (96.28, 86.27, 86.77, 84.67) mg/100ml and triglycerides (40.47, 40.78, 40.60, 39.25) mg/100ml, this results is consistent with results of [30] and [25], also there were no significant differences in creatine concentration)1-60 1.70 ,1.70 , 1067 , mg/100ml, this result agree with the result of (24) et 2019) and differs with the

result of (19) while significant differences ($p < 0.05$) appeared in the concentration of urea (1.60, 1.67, 1.70, 1.70) mg/100ml, the results agreed with the results of (24) and differed with the result of (19), While significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) appeared in the concentration of urea (42.24, 43.93, 47.83, 47.65) mg/100ml, the results agreed with the results of [2] and differed with the results of [25], there were also significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) in the concentration of enzyme ALT (19.14, 18.11, 17.12, 15.11) unit/L and enzyme AST (55.24, 52.06, 45.29, 52.71) unit/L, these results did not agree with the results of [24] and [19] This effect may be due to the addition of urea, which led to it passing through the rumen wall into the blood, which led to some stress on the animal, especially when it was added in a high percentage. .

Acknowledgements

The authors thanks the University of Mosul /College of Agricultural and Forestry and Northern Technical University/Technical Agricultural College of Mosul whom supports of this study.

References

1. Lopes, J.C.; Harper, M.T.; Giallongo, F. Oh, J.; Smith, L.; Ortega - perez, A.M Harper S.A. Melgar, A.; Kniffen, D.M.; Fabin, R.A and Histov, A.N. (2017). Effect of high-oleic- acid soybeans on production performance, milk fatty acid Composition, and enteric methane emission in dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci*-100: 1122-1135.
2. Ali, M. W. M. (2019). Effect of using different levels of urea in Awwasi ewes rations on milk production and its components and lambs growth. M.SC. Thesis Animal production Science, College of Agriculture and Forestry College, Mosul University.
3. Zanouni, A.R. I. (2011). Using urea as a substitute for protein. Book: How microbial proteins are created. Faculty of Agriculture, Minya University-Egypt.
4. Sevim, O. and Onol, A. G. (2019). Supplemental slow-release ured and non-structural carbohydrates: effect on digestibility and some rumen parameters of sheep and goats-JAPS: *Journal of Animal and plant Sciences*, 29 (1).
5. Joysowal, M.; Tyagi, A.K. Tyagi, N.; Kumar, S. and keshri, A. (2019). Use of slow releas ammonia products in ruminant diet: Areview. *J. Entomol Zoo* 1 stud. 7:882-888.
6. Kertz, A.F. (2010). Review: Urea feeding to dairy cattle: a historical prospective and review *J. Pro. Anim. Sci.* 26: 257-272.
7. Taylor-Edwards, C. C. Elam, N.A Kitts S.E.; McLeod, K.R.; Axe, D.E.; Vanzant, E.S.; Kristensen, N.B. and Harmon, D.L. (2009). Influence of slow-release urea on nitrogen balance and portal-drained visceral nutrient flux in beef steers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 27: 209-221.

8. Aysan, T.; Hizil, H. and Yazgane, E. (2011). The effect of milk urea nitrogen on milk composition in Holstein dairy cows.
9. Saeed, A. A. (2011). preparations on animal feed and rations. College of Agriculture - University of Babylon.
10. Chaverio, A.E- ; Andrade, M.; Borba, A.E.S. and Moreira Da Silva, F. (2011). Association between plasma and milk urea on the insemination day and pregnancy rate in early lactation dairy cows. J. Physiol. Pharmacol. Adv. 1: 9-14.
11. Sandrock, C.M.; Thomas, D.I. and Berger, Y.M. (2009). Protein utilization in lactating dairy ewe. J. Dairy Sci. 92: 4507-4511.
12. Cieslak, A.; Kowalczyk, J.; Czauderna, M.; potkanski, A. and Suumacher-Strabel, M. (2010). Enhancing unsaturated fatty acids in ewes milk by feeding rapeseed or liseed oil. Czech J. Anim. Sci, 55 (11): 496-504.
13. Al-Khawaja, A.K.; Al-Bayati, I. A. and Mattle S.A.A. (1978). Chemical composition and nutritional value of feed materials Iraqi Ministry of Agriculture and agrarian reform, the general directorate livestock.
14. SAS (2012). Statical Analysis Systems Software, v. 9.2, SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
15. Mueller, A.M and Kerley, M.S. (2005). Level of supplemental protein does not influence the ruminal degrad -able protein value. J. Anim. Sci. 83: 863-870.
16. Broderick, G.A. and Kang, J. H. (1980) Automated simultaneous determination of ammonia and amino acids in ruminal fluid and in Vitro media. J. Diary Sci33: 64-75.
17. Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple F-Test Biometrics, 11: 1-15.
18. Abera, F.; Urge, M.; and Animut, G. (2018). Feeding Value of Maize Stover Treated with Urea or Urea Molasses for Hararghe Higland Sheep. The Open Agriculture journal, 12:84-94.
19. Ghoniem, A.H. and Atid, S.E.S. (2020) Effect of addition protected fatty acid in ruminant rations on productive performance of Suffolk X Ossimi Crossbred ewes during different production stages. Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds 23(3): 369-383.
20. Shamoun, S. A. and Al-Mallah, O.D.M.(2009). The effect of different percentages of non-hydrolyzed protein on the digestibility coefficient of food compounds, the nature of rumen fermentations, and some biochemical characteristics in Awassi lambs. Mesopotamia Agriculture Journal, (37) 3.
21. Unal, y. kaya, I. and Oncuer, A. (2005). Use of urea-molasses mineral blocks in lambs fed with Straw. The Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey, 156 (4): 217-220.
22. Al-Jandil, K.A.A.H. (2022). The effect of different levels of fast and slow-degrading urea in the rumen on productive performance and rumen fermentation in Awassi lambs, Master's thesis, Al-Qasim Green University, College of Agriculture.
23. Alizadeh, Z.; yansari, AT,; Chashni del, Y. Kazemifard, M. and Azarpajouh, S.(2021). Effect of soybean meal replacement by slow- release urea on ruminal parameter, blood metabolites, and microbial protein Synthesis in Zel Ram. Acta Scientiarum Animal Sciences 43, 2021.
24. Xu, Y.; Li, Z.; Moraes, L.E.; Shen, J.; Yu, Z. and Zhu, W. (2019). Effects of Incremental urea Supplementation on rumen fermentation, nutrient digestion, plasma metabolites and growth performance in fattening lambs. Animals 2019, 9, 652: 3-8.
25. Tayyeb, M.A.M. (2017). The effect of adding bentonite with different percentages of urea in the rations of Awassi ewes on milk production and its components. The jordanian Journal of Agricultural Sciences (13) 2: 555-560.
26. Safavi, S. and Chaji, M. (2022). The effect of slow-release urea Sources on Digestibility of nutrients and growth performance of fattening lambs fed rations containing low quality forage.
27. Nolan, J.V.; Hegarty, R.S.; Hegarty, J.; Godwin, I.R. and Woodgate, R. (2010). Effects of dietary nitrate on fermentation, methane production and digesta Kinetics in Sheep. Animal production Science.
28. Hassan, S. A. A. and Mohamed, S.M.N. (2009). The effect of using hay treated and untreated with urea with two levels of non-decomposed nitrogen in the rumen on the characteristics of carcasses of Kurdish lambs, Technical journal, 22 (1): 61-74.
29. Al-Samarrai, A. M.; Shujaa, T. A. L. and Abdel Razzaq, B. S. (2021). The effect of adding biochar to hay treated or not treated with urea on productive traits and some carcass traits in Awassi lambs. Department of Animal Production, Agriculture College, Tikrit University.
30. Reddy, P.R.K.; Kumar, D.S. Rao.; E.R; Seshiah, Ch. V.; Sateesh, K.; Reddy, Y.P.K and Hyder, I. (2019). Assessment of eco-sustainability vis-a-vis Zootechnical attributes of Soybean meal (SBM) replacement with varying levels of coated urea in Nellore Sheep (ovis aries)

Table 1. The percentage of dry matter, crude protein and total energy

Ingredients %	T1	T2	T3	T4
Barley	57.5	57	56.5	56
Wheat Bran	20	20	20	20
Yellow Corn	10	10	10	10
Wheat Straw	10	10	10	10
Urea	0.5	1	1.5	2

Salt	1	1	1	1
Limestone	1	1	1	1
Crude Protein	12.98	14.03	15.57	16.96
Degradable Energy	2640	2630	2581	2570

Table 2. The effect of rapidly degrading urea on the digestibility coefficient of food compounds for Awassi lambs \pm standard error is shown.

Treatment	T1 0.5% urea	T2 1% urea	T3 1.5% urea	T4 2% urea
Dry matter digestibility	74.98 b	76.24 ab	77.25 a	77.40 a
coefficient %	0.37 \pm	0.87 \pm	0.10 \pm	0.38 \pm
Organic matter digestibility	77.90	78.09	80.26	79.19
coefficient %	0.04 \pm	0.90 \pm	0.53 \pm	0.31 \pm
Protein digestibility	84.30 c	85.70 bc	88.10 ab	89.19 a
coefficient %	1.88 \pm	0.04 \pm	0.15 \pm	0.31 \pm
Ether extract digestibility	56.63	57.04	57.88	58.97
coefficient %	1.64 \pm	0.39 \pm	0.33 \pm	0.33 \pm
Fiber digestibility	37.71 b	38.95 ab	39.22 ab	39.96 a
coefficient %	0.29 \pm	1.11 \pm	0.42 \pm	0.25 \pm

Table 3. Effect of rapidly degradable urea on the nature of a number of rumen fluid characteristics of Awassi lambs \pm standard error

Treatment		T1 0.5% urea	T2 1% urea	T3 1.5% urea	T4 2% urea
pH of rumen fluid	Before feeding	6.85	6.83	6.82	6.82
		0.028 \pm	0.014 \pm	0.007 \pm	0.007 \pm
	After feeding	5.64 ab	5.78 a	5.47 b	5.44 b
		0.318 \pm	0.007 \pm	0.028 \pm	0.035 \pm
Ammonia concentration of rumen fluid mg/100 ml	Before feeding	5.87	5.68	6.07	5.99
		0.46 \pm	0.38 \pm	0.49 \pm	0.17 \pm
	After feeding	7.00 b	6.90 b	7.29 ab	7.56 a
		0.45 \pm	0.47 \pm	0.46 \pm	0.20 \pm
Bacterial counts in rumen fluid *10 ⁶	Before feeding	20.000 b	21.500 ab	22.750 a	21.375 ab
		1.76 \pm	3.18 \pm	4.94 \pm	2.65 \pm
	After feeding	30.750 c	37.250 b	43.250 ab	45.500 a
		3.18 \pm	1.86 \pm	1.41 \pm	2.82 \pm
Protozoa counts in rumen fluid *10 ³	Before feeding	5.25	5.75	5.50	5.25
		0.70 \pm	5.34 \pm	5.54 \pm	0.70 \pm
	After feeding	6.375 b	6.500 b	7.225 a	6.750 ab
		0.17 \pm	0.35 \pm	0.53	0.88 \pm

Table 4. Effect of rapidly degradable urea on the weight of ewes during the experiment period \pm standard error

Treatment	T1	T2	T3	T4
	0.5% urea	1% urea	1.5% urea	2% urea
Initial weight kg	31.000	35.000	31.500	34.500
	1.24 \pm	0.82 \pm	1.18 \pm	0.84 \pm
Final weight rate kg	34.500	37.500	35.000	38.000
	0.84 \pm	0.94 \pm	1.22 \pm	0.92 \pm
Total weight gain kg	3.5 ab	2.5 b	4 a	3.5 ab
	0.034 \pm	0.025 \pm	0.043 \pm	0.0034 \pm
Daily weight gain kg	233.33 ab	178.57 b	266.66 a	233.33 ab
	0.021 \pm	0.018 \pm	0.054 \pm	0.021 \pm

Table 5. Effect of slow-release urea on some blood traits of Awassi lambs \pm standard error

Treatment	T1	T2	T3	T4
	0.5% urea	1% urea	1.5% urea	2% urea
Total protein g/100 ml	6.42	6.38	6.44	6.39
	0.17 \pm	0.09 \pm	0.14 \pm	0.23 \pm
Albumin g/100 ml	3.53	3.55	3.55	3.57
	0.12 \pm	0.09 \pm	0.11 \pm	0.07 \pm
Globulin g/100 ml	2.82	2.78	2.84	2.77
	0.16 \pm	0.43 \pm	1.08 \pm	0.26 \pm
Creatin g/100 ml	1.60	1.67	1.70	1.70
	0.11 \pm	0.06 \pm	0.04 \pm	0.02 \pm
Urea mg/100 ml	42.24 b	43.93 b	47.83 a	47.65 a
	1.52 \pm	0.35 \pm	0.70 \pm	0.21 \pm
Cholesterol mg/100 ml	86.28	86.27	86.77	84.67
	5.03 \pm	1.49 \pm	3.08 \pm	4.89 \pm
Triglycerides mg/100 ml	40.47	40.78	40.60	39.25
	0.49 \pm	0.12 \pm	0.38 \pm	1.89 \pm
ALT enzyme concentration	19.14 a	18.11 a	17.12 ab	15.11 b
unit/L blood	0.50 \pm	0.29 \pm	0.28 \pm	1.64 \pm
AST enzyme concentration	55.24 a	52.06 b	45.29 c	52.71 b
unit/L blood	2.15 \pm	1.63 \pm	0.43 \pm	3.52 \pm